arXiv:funct-an/9605005v2 12 Sep 1996

TWO APPLICATIONS OF FREE ENTROPY
***** REVISED VERSION *****

Kenneth J. Dykema

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Odense Universitet, Campusvej 55
DK-5230 Odense M
Denmark

6 May 1996

Abstract. Using Voiculsecu's free entropy, it is shown that the free group factors $L(F_n)$ lack property C of Popa and that they lack finite multiplicity abelian subalgebras.

Introduction.

In [**8**] and [**9**], Voiculescu introduced free entropy for n–tuples of self–adjoint elements in a II_1–factor, and used it to prove that free group factors, $L(F_n)$, lack Cartan subalgebras [**9**]. In [**4**], S. Popa introduced a property for II_1–factors, called property C. (See [**5**] for a paper related to [**4**].) Like Property Γ of Murray and von Neumann, this is an asymptotic commutivity property, but it is formally weaker than property Γ. Factors possessing Cartan subalgebras have property C. After Voiculescu's striking result, it is a natural question whether the factors $L(F_n)$ have property C. In this note, we show that they do not.

Liming Ge [**2**] used Voiculescu's free entropy to show that the free group factors $L(F_n)$ for $2 \leq n < \infty$ lack simple (i.e. of multiplicity one) abelian subalgebras. We say that an abelian subalgebra, A, of a II_1–factor $\mathcal{M}$ with trace τ has *finite multiplicity* m if there are $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ for which

$$A\xi_1 A + \cdots + A\xi_m A \tag{1}$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L10, 46L35.

Some of the results in this paper appeared as Odense preprint 1996 Nr. 3

Typeset by $\mathcal{AMS}$-TEX

is dense in $L^2(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and m is least such that this holds, where juxtaposition in (1) indicates the left and right actions of $\mathcal{M}$ on $L^2(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. We also give a proof, based on Ge's argument, that the free group factors $L(F_n)$ for $2 \le n \le \infty$ have no abelian subalgebras of finite multiplicity.

Acknowledgement.

Thanks to Sorin Popa for suggesting that Theorem 2.3 might be true. I would also like to thank Liming Ge for showing me an early version of [**2**] and Franz Lehner and Roland Speicher for pointing out an error in my first Lemma 2.2.

§1. Notation and elementary lemmas.

See [**8**] and [**9**] for many definitions, notations and theorems associated with free entropy.

In this paper:

Euclidean norms. $M_k^{s.a.}$ means the k^2–dimensional Euclidean space of self–adjoint $k \times k$ matrices over the complex numbers with the Euclidean norm $||T||_e \overset{\text{def}}{=} \text{Tr}(A^2)^{1/2}$, where Tr is the trace on $M_k^{s.a.}$ for which $\text{Tr}(1) = k$. On

$$(M_k^{s.a.})^n = \underbrace{M_k^{s.a.} \times \cdots \times M_k^{s.a.}}_{n \text{ times}},$$

the Euclidean norm is $||(T_1,\ldots,T_n)||_e \overset{\text{def}}{=} (\sum_1^n \text{Tr}(T_j)^2)^{1/2}$.

2–norms. In both $M_k^{s.a.}$ and in II_1–factors, for self–adjoint x, $||x||_2 = \tau(x^2)^{1/2}$ where τ is the trace normalized so that $\tau(1) = 1$. Thus on $M_k^{s.a.}$ we have $||\cdot||_e = k^{1/2}||\cdot||_2$.

Operator norms Without a subscript, $||X||$ means the operator norm of X.

Euclidean volumes. Free entropy is defined in terms of Euclidean volumes of certain subsets of $(M_k^{s.a.})^n$. Whenever we have a measurable subset, X, of Euclidean space, $E \cong R^n$, we denote by $\text{vol}_n(X)$ the (n–dimensional) Lebesgue measure of X. Thus, for example, what is $\lambda(\Gamma_R(x_1,\cdots,x_n\,;\,m,k,\epsilon))$ in [**8**] and [**9**] is here written $\text{vol}_{nk^2}(\Gamma_R(x_1,\cdots,x_n\,;\,m,k,\epsilon))$.

Volumes of Euclidean balls. $\mathcal{V}_n(r)$ is how we denote the n–dimensional volume of a ball of radius r in R^n, which is known to be

$$\mathcal{V}_n(r) = \frac{\pi^{n/2} r^n}{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}. \tag{2}$$

Gamma. Let us remark that "Γ_R" always refers to the approximating subsets defined by Voiculescu, while "Γ" without a subscript always means the Gamma–function.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(1+\frac{m}{2})} \leq \frac{2^{(n+m)/2}}{\Gamma(1+\frac{n+m}{2})}.$$

Proof. Taking the crossed product of balls we see $\mathcal{V}_n(2^{-1/2})\mathcal{V}_m(2^{-1/2}) \leq \mathcal{V}_{n+m}(1)$. Use (2). □

Lemma 1.2. *Let $0 < \beta < 1$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $0 \leq t_1, \dots, t_N \leq \beta$ be such that $\sum_{i=1}^N t_i = 1$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^N t_i^2 \leq \beta + 2\beta^2.$$

Proof. Since $(t_1+c)^2 + (t_2-c)^2 \geq t_1^2 + t_2^2$ if $c \geq 0$ and $t_1 \geq t_2$, it follows that the supremum of $\sum_1^N t_i^2$ over allowable t_j is $[\frac{1}{\beta}]\beta^2 + (1-\beta[\frac{1}{\beta}])^2$. Hence if $\beta = \frac{1}{K}$ for $K \in \mathbf{N}$ then $\sum_1^N t_i^2 \leq \frac{1}{K}$. For general $0 < \beta < 1$ let $K = [\frac{1}{\beta}]$. Then $\frac{1}{K+1} < \beta \leq \frac{1}{K}$ so also $0 < t_i \leq \frac{1}{K}$ and thus

$$\sum_1^N t_i^2 \leq \frac{1}{K} \leq \beta + \frac{1}{K(K+1)} \leq \beta + \beta^2(1+\frac{1}{K}).$$

□

§2. Property C and free entropy.

Definition 2.1. ([4]). A II_1–factor $\mathcal{M}$ with tracial state τ is said to have *property C* if $\forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{M}$ $\forall \alpha > 0$ $\exists y_1, \dots, y_m \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

(a) $\forall 1 \leq \iota \leq n$ the distance with respect to $\| \; \|_2$ from x_ι to $\mathrm{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ is less than α,

(b) $\forall \beta > 0$ $\exists A_1, \dots, A_m$, mutually commuting abelian subalgebras of $\mathcal{M}$, none of which contains a minimal projection of trace larger than β, and such that for each j the distance with respect to $\| \; \|_2$ from y_j to $A_j' \cap \mathcal{M}$ is less than β.

Lemma 2.2. *For $\mathcal{M}$ a II_1–factor with tracial state τ, for self–adjoint $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{M}$ and for $0 < \alpha < 1$, suppose $y_1, \dots, y_m$ are such that (a) and (b) of Definition 2.1 hold. Then there is $\beta_0 > 0$ such that whenever $0 < \beta < \beta_0$, whenever $A_1, \dots, A_m$ are the mutually commuting abelian subalgebras of $\mathcal{M}$ as in (b) of Definition 2.1 and whenever for each $1 \leq j \leq m$ we* *take* $N_j \in \mathbf{N}$ *and self–adjoint projections* $p_{j,1}, \ldots, p_{j,N_j} \in A_j$*, each of trace* $\leq \beta$*, such that* $\sum_{l=1}^{N_j} p_{j,l} = 1$*, then letting* $b = \max(||x_1||_2, \ldots, ||x_n||_2) + 1$ *we have*

$$\chi(x_1, \ldots, x_n : y_1, \ldots, y_m, (p_{j,l})_{\substack{1\leq j\leq m \\ 1\leq l\leq N_j}}) \leq C_1 + (n - 1 - 6m\beta)\log\alpha, \tag{3}$$

where C_1 *is a constant depending only on* b *and* n*.*

Proof. At the cost of doubling m we may suppose each y_j is self–adjoint. We will assume each of $y_1, \ldots, y_m$ is self-adjoint and prove under this additional hypothesis that

$$\chi(x_1, \ldots, x_n : y_1, \ldots, y_m, (p_{j,l})_{\substack{1\leq j\leq m \\ 1\leq l\leq N_j}}) \leq C_1 + (n - 1 - 3m\beta)\log\alpha, \tag{4}$$

which will then prove the lemma. Let $c_{\iota,j} \in \mathbf{R}$ be such that

$$\forall 1 \leq \iota \leq n \quad ||x_\iota - \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{\iota,j} y_j||_2 < \alpha.$$

Take $\beta > 0$ and let $A_1, \ldots, A_m$ be the mutually commuting subalgebras with projections $p_{j,l}$ as in the statement of the lemma. If $B_j = \mathbf{C}p_{j,1} + \mathbf{C}p_{j,2} + \cdots + \mathbf{C}p_{j,N_j}$ then the distance with repect to $||\ ||_2$ from y_j to $B_j' \cap \mathcal{M}$ is $||y_j - \sum_{l=1}^{N_j} p_{j,l} y_j p_{j,l}||_2$, which by hypothesis must be $< \beta$. Hence choosing β_0 small enough and $\beta < \beta_0$ we get

$$\forall 1 \leq \iota \leq n \qquad ||x_\iota - \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{\iota,j} \sum_{l=1}^{N_j} p_{j,l} y_j p_{j,l}||_2 < \alpha + \beta \sum_{j=1}^{m} |c_{\iota,j}| < 2\alpha.$$

Let $q_1, \ldots, q_N$ be the minimal projections of the algebra generated by $\{p_{j,l} \mid 1 \leq j \leq m,\ 1 \leq l \leq N_j\}$. Let $I(j,l) \subseteq \{1, \ldots, N\}$ be such that

$$p_{j,l} = \sum_{s \in I(j,l)} q_s.$$

By an easy case of [**9**, 1.8], we have that

$$\chi(x_1, \ldots, x_n : y_1, \ldots, y_m, (p_{j,l})_{\substack{1\leq j\leq m \\ 1\leq l\leq N_j}}) = \chi(x_1, \ldots, x_n : y_1, \ldots, y_m, q_1, \ldots, q_N)$$

and we estimate the latter quantity.

Claim 2.2a. $\forall R \geq 0\ \exists m', k_0 \in \mathbf{N}\ \exists \epsilon > 0$ such that if

$$(A_1, \ldots, A_n) \in \Gamma_R(x_1, \ldots, x_n : y_1, \ldots, y_m, q_1, \ldots, q_N; m', k, \epsilon) \tag{5}$$

then there are $B_1,\dots,B_m \in M_k^{s.a.}$ and projections $Q_1,\dots,Q_N \in M_k^{s.a.}$ such that $\sum_1^N Q_s \leq 1$, $\mathrm{rank}(Q_s) = [\tau(q_s)k]$ and such that, letting

$$P_{j,l} = \sum_{s\in I(j,l)} Q_s,$$

we have

$$\forall 1 \leq \iota \leq n \quad ||A_\iota - \sum_{j=1}^m c_{\iota,j} \sum_{l=1}^{N_j} P_{j,l} B_j P_{j,l}||_2 < 3\alpha. \tag{6}$$

Proof. Indeed, if A_ι are as in (5), let $B_1,\dots,B_m,D_1,\dots,D_N \in M_k^{s.a.}$ be such that

$$(A_1,\dots,A_n,B_1,\dots,B_m,D_1,\dots,D_N) \in \Gamma_R(x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_m,q_1,\dots,q_N;m',k,\epsilon). \tag{7}$$

Then by (5), letting $E_{j,l} = \sum_{s\in I(j,l)} D_s$ and choosing $m' \geq 3$ and ϵ small enough, we may insist that

$$\forall 1 \leq \iota \leq n \quad ||A_\iota - \sum_{j=1}^m c_{\iota,j} \sum_{l=1}^{N_j} E_{j,l} B_j E_{j,l}||_2 < 5\alpha/2 \tag{8}$$

Now there is $\delta > 0$ such that if $D'_1,\dots,D'_N \in M_k^{s.a.}$ satisfy $||D'_s|| \leq R$ and $||D'_s - D_s||_2 < \delta$ then letting $E'_{j,l} = \sum_{s\in I(j,l)} D'_s$ it follows that, for any $||B_j|| \leq R$,

$$\forall 1 \leq \iota \leq n \quad ||\sum_{j=1}^m c_{\iota,j} \sum_{l=1}^{N_j} (E_{j,l} B_j E_{j,l} - E'_{j,l} B_j E'_{j,l})||_2 < \alpha/2. \tag{9}$$

It follows from [**8**, 4.3] that if m' is large enough, if ϵ is small enough and if $k \geq k_0$ for k_0 large enough, (independently of the choice of $D_1,\dots,D_N$ such that (7) holds), then there are $Q_1,\dots,Q_N \in M_k^{s.a.}$, projections, such that $\sum_1^N Q_s \leq 1$ and $\forall s$ $\mathrm{rank}(Q_s) = [\tau(q_s)k]$ and $||Q_s - D_s||_2 < \delta$. Hence, from (9) and (8) we have that (6) holds. Thus, Claim 2.2a is proved.

Continuing with the proof of Lemma 2.2, let $\widetilde{Q}_1,\dots,\widetilde{Q}_N \in M_k^{s.a.}$ be any fixed projections such that $\sum_{s=1}^N \widetilde{Q}_s \leq 1$ and $\mathrm{rank}(\widetilde{Q}_s) = [\tau(q_s)k]$. Let

$$\widetilde{P}_{j,l} = \sum_{s\in I(j,l)} \widetilde{Q}_s.$$

Then by (6), there is a $k \times k$ unitary U, (letting U be such that $U^*\widetilde{Q}_s U = Q_s$), for which we have

$$\forall 1 \leq \iota \leq n \quad ||UA_\iota U^* - \sum_{j=1}^m c_{\iota,j} \sum_{l=1}^{N_j} \widetilde{P}_{j,l} (UB_jU^*) \widetilde{P}_{j,l}||_2 < 3\alpha.$$

Consider the linear transformation

$$F:(M_k^{s.a.})^m\to(M_k^{s.a.})^n$$

given by

$$F(X_1,\dots,X_m)=\bigg(\sum_{j=1}^m c_{\iota,j}\sum_{l=1}^{N_j}\widetilde{P}_{j,l}X_j\widetilde{P}_{j,l}\bigg)_{\iota=1}^n.$$

Thus every $(A_1,\dots,A_n)$ as in (5) is within Euclidean distance $3\alpha n^{1/2}k^{1/2}$ from a unitary conjugate of the range space of F. If $U,U_1\in\mathcal{U}_k$ are such that $||U-U_1||\le\gamma$ then since $||A_\iota||_2\le b-1+\epsilon\le b$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&||(U^*A_1U,\dots,U^*A_nU)-(U_1^*A_1U_1,\dots,U_1^*A_nU_1)||_e\le\\&\le k^{1/2}n^{1/2}\max_{1\le\iota\le n}||U^*A_\iota U-U_1^*A_\iota U_1||_2\le 2bk^{1/2}n^{1/2}\gamma.\end{aligned}$$

Hence if $(U_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ is a γ–net for $\mathcal{U}_k$ with respect to the metric arising from the operator norm, then $(A_1,\dots,A_n)$ is within Euclidean distance $n^{1/2}k^{1/2}(3\alpha+2b\gamma)$ of

$$\bigcup_{\lambda\in\Lambda}(U_\lambda,\dots,U_\lambda)\mathrm{Range}(F)(U_\lambda^*,\dots,U_\lambda^*).$$

By results of Szarek [**6**], there is a γ–net in $\mathcal{U}_k$ with cardinality $|\Lambda|\le(\frac{C}{\gamma})^{k^2}$, where C is a universal constant. In the following computation, let Γ_R denote the right–hand–side of (5). Since the Euclidean norm of $(A_1,\dots,A_n)$ is no greater than $k^{1/2}n^{1/2}b$, letting $\mathcal{B}$ be the Euclidean ball in Range(F) of radius $k^{1/2}n^{1/2}(3\alpha+b(2\gamma+1))$, it follows that every point in Γ_R is connected to $(U_\lambda,\dots,U_\lambda)\mathcal{B}(U_\lambda^*,\dots,U_\lambda^*)$, for some $\lambda\in\Lambda$ by a line segment of Euclidean length $\le k^{1/2}n^{1/2}(3\alpha+2b\gamma)$ which is normal to $(U_\lambda,\dots,U_\lambda)\mathcal{B}(U_\lambda^*,\dots,U_\lambda^*)$. Let $d_k=\mathrm{rank}(F)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}d_k&\le\sum_{j=1}^m\sum_{l=1}^{N_j}\mathrm{rank}(\widetilde{P}_{j,l})^2\\&\le k^2\sum_{j=1}^m\sum_{l=1}^{N_j}\tau(p_{j,l})^2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence by Lemma 1.2, $d_k\le 3m\beta k^2$. Then

$$\mathrm{vol}_{nk^2}(\Gamma_R)\le|\Lambda|\cdot\mathcal{V}_{d_k}(k^{1/2}n^{1/2}(3\alpha+b(2\gamma+1)))\cdot\mathcal{V}_{nk^2-d_k}(k^{1/2}n^{1/2}(3\alpha+2b\gamma)).$$

Letting $\gamma = \alpha/b$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{vol}_{nk^2}(\Gamma_R) &\leq \left(\frac{Cb}{\alpha}\right)^{k^2} \cdot \frac{(\pi kn)^{d_k/2}(5\alpha+b)^{d_k}}{\Gamma(1+\frac{d_k}{2})} \cdot \frac{(\pi kn)^{(nk^2-d_k)/2}(5\alpha)^{nk^2-d_k}}{\Gamma(1+\frac{nk^2-d_k}{2})} \\ &\leq \frac{(Cb)^{k^2}(\pi kn)^{nk^2/2}(6b)^{d_k}5^{nk^2-d_k}\alpha^{(n-1)k^2-d_k}2^{nk^2/2}}{\Gamma(1+\frac{nk^2}{2})} \\ &\leq \frac{((6b)^n5^nCb)^{k^2}(2\pi kn)^{nk^2/2}\alpha^{(n-1)k^2-d_k}}{\Gamma(1+\frac{nk^2}{2})},\end{aligned}$$

where we used successively (2) and Szarek's result about $|\Lambda|$; Lemma 1.1; the fact that $0 \leq d_k \leq nk^2$. Thus using Stirling's formula we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{n}{2}\log k + k^{-2}\log\mathrm{vol}_{nk^2}(\Gamma_R) \leq \\ &\quad \leq n\log(30b) + \log(Cb) + \frac{n}{2}\log(2\pi n) + (n-1-(d_k/k^2))\log\alpha + c_k,\end{aligned}$$

where $\lim_{k\to\infty} c_k = 0$. Using that $d_k \leq 3m\beta k^2$ and letting $k \to \infty$ gives (4).

□

Theorem 2.3. *For each $n \in \{2,3,4,\ldots,\infty\}$, $L(F_n)$ does not have property C.*

Proof. Suppose for contradiction that $L(F_n)$ has property C. We have by [**8**, 4.5] and [**8**, 5.4] that for a free family of semicircular elements, $x_1,\ldots,x_{n'}$,

$$\chi(x_1,\ldots,x_{n'}) > -\infty. \tag{10}$$

If $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $n \geq 2$, then letting $x_1,\ldots,x_n$ be a free family of semicircular elements generating $L(F_n)$, using (4) with β and α sufficiently small, from (3) and (10) we obtain

$$\chi(x_1,\ldots,x_n : z_1,\ldots,z_K) < \chi(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$

for some $z_1,\ldots,z_K \in L(F_n)$, which is a contradiction to [**9**, 1.8].

If $n = \infty$, let $(x_\iota)_{\iota=1}^{\infty}$ be a free family of semicircular elements generating $L(F_\infty)$ and take any $n' \in \mathbf{N}$, $n' \geq 2$. Assuming property C holds, in like manner to above we obtain

$$\chi(x_1,\ldots,x_{n'} : z_1,\ldots,z_K) < \chi(x_1,\ldots,x_{n'})$$

for some $z_1,\ldots,z_K \in L(F_\infty)$. Now letting E_l denote the conditional expectation from $L(F_\infty)$ to $\{x_1,\ldots,x_l\}$, by [**9**, 1.5] we have for some $l \in \mathbf{N}$, $l \geq n'$, that

$$\chi(x_1,\ldots,x_{n'} : E_l(z_1),\ldots,E_l(z_K)) < \chi(x_1,\ldots,x_{n'}).$$

Hence by [**9**, 1.8] and [**8**, 2.3] we have

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(x_1,\dots,x_l) &= \chi(x_1,\dots,x_l : z_1,\dots,z_K)\\ &\leq \chi(x_1,\dots,x_{n'} : z_1,\dots,z_K) + \chi(x_{n'+1},\dots,x_l)\\ &< \chi(x_1,\dots,x_{n'}) + \chi(x_{n'+1}) + \cdots + \chi(x_l)\\ &\leq \chi(x_1) + \cdots + \chi(x_l),\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts [**8**, 5.4].

□

§3. Finite multiplicity abelian subalgebras and free entropy.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose a II_1–factor, $\mathcal{M}$, has an abelian subalgebra, A, of finite multiplicity $\leq m$. Then for every $t \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$, $\mathcal{M}_t$ has an abelian subalgebra of multiplicity $\leq m$.*

Proof. It will suffice to show for all $0 < t < 1$ and for all $t \in \mathbf{N}$ that if A has multiplicity $\leq m$ then $\mathcal{M}_t$ has an abelian subalgebra of finite multiplicity $\leq m$. Let $\xi_1,\dots,\xi_m \in L^2(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ be such that $A\xi_1A + \cdots + A\xi_mA$ is dense in $L^2(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. For $0 < t < 1$, let $p \in A$ be a projection for which $\tau(p) = t$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}L^2(p\mathcal{M}p, \tau(p)^{-1}|_{p\mathcal{M}p}) &= pL^2(\mathcal{M},\tau)p\\ &= p\overline{(A\xi_1A + \cdots A\xi_mA)}p\\ &= \overline{pA(p\xi_1p)Ap + \cdots pA(p\xi_mp)Ap}\end{aligned}$$

so pA has multiplicity $\leq m$ in $p\mathcal{M}p$. For $t = n \in \mathbf{N}$, $t \geq 2$ we have $\mathcal{M}_t = \mathcal{M} \otimes M_n(\mathbf{C})$. Let $(e_{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq n}$ be a system of matrix units for $M_n(\mathbf{C})$. Then $L^2(M_n(\mathbf{C}),\tau_n)$ has orthonormal basis $\{n^{1/2}\hat{e}_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i,j \leq n\}$. Let $D = \operatorname{span}\{e_{ii} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\} \subseteq M_n(\mathbf{C})$. Consider the abelian subalgebra, $A \otimes D \subseteq \mathcal{M}_t$. Let $\xi'_k = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \xi_k \otimes \hat{e}_{ij}$, so that

$$(a_1 \otimes e_{ii})\xi'_k(a_2 \otimes e_{jj}) = a_1\xi_ka_2 \otimes \hat{e}_{ij}$$

and thus

$$(A \otimes D)\xi'_1(A \otimes D) + \cdots + (A \otimes D)\xi'_m(A \otimes D)$$

is dense in $L^2(\mathcal{M},\tau) \otimes L^2(M_n(\mathbf{C}),\tau_n)$. Hence $A \otimes D$ has multiplicity $\leq m$ in $\mathcal{M} \otimes M_n(\mathbf{C})$.

□

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a II_1–factor with normalized trace τ and take $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathcal{M}_{s.a.}$. Suppose $\omega > 0$ and there are $y_1, \ldots, y_K \in \mathcal{M}_{s.a.}$, projections $p_1, \ldots, p_N \in \mathcal{M}_{s.a.}$ whose sum is 1 and scalars $\lambda_{r,s}^{(\iota,l)} \in \mathbf{C}$ (for $1 \leq \iota \leq n, 1 \leq l \leq K, 1 \leq r, s \leq N$) for which $\lambda_{r,s}^{(\iota,l)} = \overline{\lambda_{s,r}^{(\iota,l)}}$ such that*

$$\forall 1 \leq \iota \leq n \qquad ||x_\iota - \sum_{l=1}^{K} \sum_{r,s=1}^{N} \lambda_{r,s}^{(\iota,l)} p_r y_l p_s||_2 < \omega. \tag{11}$$

Then $\forall R > 1$ there are $k_1, m' \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $k \geq k_1$ and if

$$(A_1, \ldots, A_n) \in \Gamma_R(x_1, \ldots, x_n : p_1, \ldots, p_N, y_1, \ldots, y_K; m', k, \epsilon) \tag{12}$$

then there are orthogonal, self–adjoint projections $Q_1, \ldots, Q_N \in M_k^{s.a.}$, with $\text{rank}(Q_j) = [\tau(p_j)k]$ *and there are $B_1, \ldots, B_K \in M_k^{s.a.}$ such that*

$$\forall 1 \leq \iota \leq n \qquad ||A_\iota - \sum_{l=1}^{K} \sum_{r,s=1}^{N} \lambda_{r,s}^{(\iota,l)} Q_r B_l Q_s||_2 < 3\omega. \tag{13}$$

Proof. In light of (11), taking $m_0 = 3$ there is $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that if $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and if

$$(A_1, \ldots, A_n, D_1, \ldots, D_N, B_1, \ldots, B_K) \in \Gamma_R(x_1, \ldots, x_n, p_1, \ldots, p_N, y_1, \ldots, y_K; m_0, k, \epsilon_0) \tag{14}$$

then

$$\forall 1 \leq \iota \leq n \qquad ||A_\iota - \sum_{l=1}^{K} \sum_{r,s=1}^{N} \lambda_{r,s}^{(\iota,l)} D_r B_l D_r||_2 < 2\omega. \tag{15}$$

Then there is $\delta > 0$ such that if $D'_1, \ldots, D'_N \in M_k^{s.a.}$, $||D'_r|| \leq R$ satisfy $\forall 1 \leq j \leq N$ $||D_j - D'_j||_2 < \delta$ then for any $||B_l|| \leq R$,

$$\forall 1 \leq \iota \leq n \qquad ||\sum_{l=1}^{K} \sum_{r,s=1}^{N} \lambda_{r,s}^{(\iota,l)} (D_r B_l D_s - D'_r B_l D'_s)||_2 < \omega.$$

It follows from [**8**, 4.3] that there are $\epsilon_1 > 0$, $m_1, k_1 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that if $k \geq k_1$ and if

$$(D_1, \ldots, D_N) \in \Gamma_R(p_1, \ldots, p_N; m_1, k, \epsilon_1)$$

then there are orthogonal, self–adjoint projections $Q_1, \ldots, Q_N \in M_k^{s.a.}$, $\text{rank}(Q_j) = [\tau(p_j)k]$, such that $\forall 1 \leq j \leq N$ $||D_j - Q_j||_2 < \delta$.

Putting these facts together, we can prove the lemma. Indeed, let $\epsilon = \min(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1)$ and $m' = \max(m_0, m_1)$. If A_ι are as in (12) then there are D_j and B_l such that (14) holds, also with m' and ϵ replacing m_0 and ϵ_0. Then (15) holds and we can find Q_j as desired so that (13) holds.

□

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose* $x_1,\dots,x_n$, $\omega>0$, y_l, p_j *and* $\lambda^{(\iota,l)}_{r,s}$ *satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 3.2 and let* $b=\max(\|x_1\|_2,\dots,\|x_n\|_2)+1$. *Let* $m'\in\mathbf{N}$ *and* $\epsilon>0$ *be as found in Lemma 3.2, assume without loss of generality that* $\epsilon\le 1$ *and let*

$$R>\max(\|x_1\|,\dots,\|x_n\|,\|y_1\|,\dots,\|y_K\|,1).$$

If also $\omega\le b$ *and* $\omega<1$ *then*

$$\chi_R(x_1,\dots,x_n:y_1,\dots,y_K,p_1,\dots,p_N;m',\epsilon)\le C_1+(n-K-1)\log\omega,$$

where $C_1\in\mathbf{R}$ *depends only on* n *and* b.

Proof. Let k_1 be as found in Lemma 3.2 and let $k\ge k_1$. Let $\widetilde{Q}_1,\dots,\widetilde{Q}_N$ be any fixed, orthogonal projections in $M_k^{s.a.}$, with $\mathrm{rank}(\widetilde{Q}_j)=[\tau(p_j)k]$. By Lemma 3.2, for every $(A_1,\dots,A_n)$ as in (12) there are $B_1,\dots,B_K\in M_k^{s.a.}$, each of norm $\le R$, and there is $U\in\mathcal{U}_k$ (the group of $k\times k$ unitaries) such that

$$\forall 1\le\iota\le n\qquad \|A_\iota-\sum_{l=1}^{K}\sum_{r,s=1}^{N}\lambda^{(\iota,l)}_{r,s}U\widetilde{Q}_rU^*B_lU\widetilde{Q}_sU^*\|_2<3\omega. \tag{16}$$

Consider the linear transformation

$$F:(M_k^{s.a.})^K\to(M_k^{s.a.})^n$$

given by

$$F(C_1,\dots,C_K)=\left(\sum_{l=1}^{K}\sum_{r,s=1}^{N}\lambda^{(\iota,l)}_{r,s}\widetilde{Q}_rC_l\widetilde{Q}_s\right)_{\iota=1}^{n}.$$

Then (16) implies that there is $U\in\mathcal{U}_k$ such that the point $(U^*A_1U,\dots,U^*A_nU)$ is Euclidean distance at most $3\omega n^{1/2}k^{1/2}$ away from the range space of F. If $U,U_1\in\mathcal{U}_k$ are such that $\|U-U_1\|\le\gamma$ then since $\|A_\iota\|_2\le b-1+\epsilon\le b$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(U^*A_1U,\dots,U^*A_nU)-(U_1^*A_1U_1,\dots,U_1^*A_nU_1)\|_e\le\\ &\le k^{1/2}n^{1/2}\max_{1\le\iota\le n}\|U^*A_\iota U-U_1^*A_\iota U_1\|_2\le 2bk^{1/2}n^{1/2}\gamma.\end{aligned}$$

Hence if $(U_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ is a γ–net for $\mathcal{U}_k$ with respect to the metric arising from the operator norm, then $(A_1,\dots,A_n)$ is within Euclidean distance $n^{1/2}k^{1/2}(3\omega+2b\gamma)$ of

$$\bigcup_{\lambda\in\Lambda}(U_\lambda,\dots,U_\lambda)\mathrm{Range}(F)(U_\lambda^*,\dots,U_\lambda^*).$$

By results of Szarek [**6**], there is a γ–net in $\mathcal{U}_k$ with cardinality $|\Lambda| \leq (\frac{C}{\gamma})^{k^2}$, where C is a universal constant. In the following computation, let Γ_R denote the right–hand–side of (12). Since the Euclidean norm of $(A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ is no greater than $k^{1/2}n^{1/2}b$, letting $\mathcal{B}$ be the Euclidean ball in Range(F) of radius $k^{1/2}n^{1/2}(3\omega + b(2\gamma+1))$, it follows that every point in Γ_R is connected to $(U_\lambda, \ldots, U_\lambda)\mathcal{B}(U_\lambda^*, \ldots, U_\lambda^*)$, for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$ by a line segment of Euclidean length $\leq k^{1/2}n^{1/2}(3\omega + 2b\gamma)$ which is normal to $(U_\lambda, \ldots, U_\lambda)\mathcal{B}(U_\lambda^*, \ldots, U_\lambda^*)$. Let $d_k = \text{rank}(F)$, so $d_k \leq nk^2$ and $d_k \leq mk^2$. Then

$$\text{vol}_{nk^2}(\Gamma_R) \leq |\Lambda| \cdot \mathcal{V}_{d_k}(k^{1/2}n^{1/2}(3\omega + b(2\gamma+1)) \cdot \mathcal{V}_{nk^2-d_k}(k^{1/2}n^{1/2}(3\omega + 2b\gamma)).$$

Set $\gamma = \omega/b$, giving

$$\begin{aligned}\text{vol}_{nk^2}(\Gamma_R) &\leq \left(\frac{Cb}{\omega}\right)^{k^2} \cdot \frac{(\pi kn)^{d_k/2}(5\omega+b)^{d_k}}{\Gamma(1+\frac{d_k}{2})} \cdot \frac{(\pi kn)^{(nk^2-d_k)/2}(5\omega)^{nk^2-d_k}}{\Gamma(1+\frac{nk^2-d_k}{2})} \\ &\leq \frac{(Cb)^{k^2}(\pi kn)^{nk^2/2}(6b)^{d_k}5^{nk^2-d_k}\omega^{(n-1)k^2-d_k}2^{nk^2/2}}{\Gamma(1+\frac{nk^2}{2})} \\ &\leq \frac{((6b)^n 5^n Cb)^{k^2}(2\pi kn)^{nk^2/2}\omega^{(n-K-1)k^2}}{\Gamma(1+\frac{nk^2}{2})},\end{aligned}$$

where we used successively (2) and Szarek's result about $|\Lambda|$; Lemma 1.1; the facts that $(n-1)k^2 - d_k \geq (n-K-1)k^2$ and $\omega < 1$. Thus using Stirling's formula we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{n}{2}\log k + k^{-2}\log \text{vol}_{nk^2}(\Gamma_R) \leq \\ &\quad \leq n\log(30b) + \log(Cb) + \frac{n}{2}\log(2\pi n) - \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi) + (n-K-1)\log\omega + c_k,\end{aligned}$$

where $\lim_{k\to\infty} c_k = 0$. Taking the limit as $k \to \infty$ gives

$$\chi_R(x_1, \ldots, x_n : p_1, \ldots, p_N, y_1, \ldots, y_K; m', \epsilon) \leq C_1 + (n-K-1)\log\omega$$

as required.

□

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose $\mathcal{M}$ is a II_1–factor with abelian subalgebra A having finite multiplicity $\leq m$. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathcal{M}_{s.a.}$ and $\omega > 0$. Let $K = 2m$. Then there is $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that the hypotheses of Lemma 3.2 are satisfied, namely, there are $y_1, \ldots, y_{2m}$, $p_1, \ldots, p_N$ and $\lambda_{r,s}^{(\iota,l)}$ such that (11) holds.*

Proof. Recall that an element of $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is said to be self–adjoint if it is fixed by the involution J defined by $J\hat{x} = (x^*)\hat{}$, where $x \mapsto \hat{x}$ is the defining mapping $M \to L^2(M, \tau)$.

There are self–adjoint $\xi_1,\dots,\xi_{2m}\in\mathcal{H}$ such that $A\xi_1A+\cdots+A\xi_{2m}A$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$. Hence there is $M\in\mathbf{N}$ and there are $a_1^{(\iota,l)},\dots,a_M^{(\iota,l)},b_1^{(\iota,l)},\dots,b_M^{(\iota,l)}\in A$ (for $1\le l\le 2m,\ 1\le\iota\le n$) such that

$$\forall 1\le\iota\le n\qquad ||\hat{x}_\iota-\sum_{l=1}^{2m}\sum_{k=1}^{M}a_k^{(\iota,l)}\xi_l b_k^{(\iota,l)}-\hat{x}_\iota||_{\mathcal{H}}<\omega/3.$$

Since $(\mathcal{M}_{s.a.})\hat{}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_{s.a.}$, there are $y_1,\dots,y_{2m}\in\mathcal{M}_{s.a.}$ such that

$$\forall 1\le\iota\le n\qquad ||x_\iota-\sum_{l=1}^{2m}\sum_{k=1}^{M}a_k^{(\iota,l)}y_l b_k^{(\iota,l)}||_2<2\omega/3.$$

Since A is abelian there are $N\in\mathbf{N}$ and self–adjoint projections $p_1,\dots,p_N\in A$ whose sum is 1 such that each $a_k^{(\iota,l)}$ and $b_k^{(\iota,l)}$ is approximated sufficiently well in norm by linear combinations of the p_j so that for some scalars $\lambda_{r,s}^{(\iota,l)}\in\mathbf{C}$ we have

$$\forall 1\le\iota\le n\qquad ||x_\iota-\sum_{l=1}^{2m}\sum_{r,s=1}^{N}\lambda_{r,s}^{(\iota,l)}p_r y_l p_s||_2<\omega.$$

Since x_ι is self–adjoint, we may without penalty take $\lambda_{r,s}^{(\iota,l)}=\overline{\lambda_{r,s}^{(\iota,l)}}$.

□

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose $\mathcal{M}$ is a II_1–factor with an abelian subalgebra, A, having finite multiplicity $\le m$. Suppose $x_1,\dots,x_n$ are self–adjoint elements of $\mathcal{M}$ which taken together generate $\mathcal{M}$. If $n>2m+1$ then*

$$\chi(x_1,\dots,x_n)=-\infty.$$

Proof. Let $\omega>0$, let N, $p_1,\dots,p_N$ and $y_1,\dots,y_{2m}$ be as obtained from Lemma 3.4. and let b and R be as in Lemma 3.3. Since $\mathcal{M}=\{x_1,\dots,x_n\}''$, by [**9**, 1.8] we have

$$\chi(x_1,\dots,x_n)=\chi_R(x_1,\dots,x_n)=\chi_R(x_1,\dots,x_n:p_1,\dots,p_N,y_1,\dots,y_{2m}),$$

and we will estimate the latter quantity. Let ϵ and m' be obtained from Lemma 3.2, taking without loss of generality $\epsilon\le 1$. Then for $\omega\le b$, by Lemma 3.3,

$$\chi(x_1,\dots,x_n)\le\chi_R(x_1,\dots,x_n:p_1,\dots,p_N,y_1,\dots,y_{2m};m',\epsilon)\le C_1+(n-2m-1)\log\omega.$$

Now let $\omega\to 0$.

□

Corollary 3.6. *For no $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $n \geq 2$ does the free group factor $L(F_n)$ contain an abelian subalgebra of finite multiplicity.*

Proof. For $n' \in \mathbf{N}$ and $n' \geq 2$, $L(F_{n'})$ is generated by a free family semicircular elements, $x_1, \ldots, x_{n'}$ and by [**8**, 4.5] and [**8**, 5.4] it is seen that

$$\chi(x_1, \ldots, x_{n'}) > -\infty. \tag{17}$$

Suppose for contradiction that $L(F_n)$ has an abelian subalgebra of finite multiplicity m. By [**7**], for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$ $L(F_n)_{1/k} \cong L(F_{1+k^2(n-1)})$, so by Proposition 3.1 and for k large enough we can find $n' \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $n' > 2m-1$ and $L(F_{n'})$ has an abelian subalgebra of multiplicity $\leq m$. Theorem 3.5 and (17) now give a contradiction.

□

Remark 3.7. Clearly, (see [**3**], [**1**]) the same argument shows that for no $1 < t < \infty$ does the interpolated free group factor $L(F_t)$ contain an abelian subalgebra of finite multiplicity.

We now use the method of [**8**, 5.3] to consider also the free group factor on (countably) infinitely many generators.

Theorem 3.8. *$L(F_\infty)$ has no abelian subalgebras of finite multiplicity.*

Proof. Suppose for contradiction that $L(F_\infty)$ contains an abelian subalgebra of finite multiplicity m. Let $x_1, x_2, \ldots$ be a free family of semicircular elements generating $L(F_\infty)$ such that $||x_j||_2 = 1$. Let $K = 2m$, $n = 2m+2$, $b = 2$ and let C_1 be as in Lemma 3.3 for these values of n and b. Since $\chi(x_1, \ldots, x_n) > -\infty$, there is $\omega > 0$ such that $C_1 + \log \omega < \chi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Let $y_1, \ldots, y_{2m}$, $p_1, \ldots, p_N$ and $\lambda_{r,s}^{(\iota,l)}$ be as obtained from Lemma 3.4. By Lemma 3.3,

$$\chi(x_1, \ldots, x_n : y_1, \ldots, y_{2m}, p_1, \ldots, p_N) < \chi(x_1, \ldots, x_n).$$

For $n' \in \mathbf{N}$ let $E_{n'}$ be the trace–preserving conditional expectation from $L(F_\infty)$ onto $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{n'}\}''$. Then by [**9**, 1.5], for some $n' \in \mathbf{N}$ we have

$$\chi(x_1, \ldots, x_n : E_{n'}(y_1), \ldots, E_{n'}(y_{2m}), E_{n'}(p_1), \ldots, E_{n'}(p_N)) < \chi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$$

and we may assume without loss of generality that $n' \geq n$. Thus, by [**9**, 1.8] and [**8**, 2.3] we have

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(x_1,\ldots,x_{n'}) &= \chi(x_1,\ldots,x_{n'}:E_{n'}(y_1),\ldots,E_{n'}(y_{2m}),E_{n'}(p_1),\ldots,E_{n'}(p_N)) \\ &\le \chi(x_1,\ldots,x_n:E_{n'}(y_1),\ldots,E_{n'}(y_{2m}),E_{n'}(p_1),\ldots,E_{n'}(p_N)) \\ &\qquad + \chi(x_{n+1},\ldots,x_{n'}) \\ &< \chi(x_1,\ldots,x_n)+\chi(x_{n+1})+\cdots+\chi(x_{n'}) \\ &\le \chi(x_1)+\cdots+\chi(x_{n'}),\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts [**8**, 5.4].

□

References

1. K. Dykema, *Interpolated free group factors*, Pacific J. Math. **163** (1994), 123-135.
2. L. Ge, *Applications of free entropy to finite von Neumann algebras*, Amer. J. Math. (to appear).
3. F. Rădulescu, *Random matrices, amalgamated free products and subfactors of the von Neumann algebra of a free group, of noninteger index*, Invent. Math. **115** (1994), 347-389.
4. S. Popa, *On derivations into the compacts and some properties of type II_1 factors*, Spectral theory of linear operators and related topics, Operator Theory: Advances and Aplications vol. 14, Birkhäuser, 1984.
5. ______, *The commutant modulo the set of compact operators of a von Neumann algebra*, J. Funct. Anal. **71** (1987), 393-408.
6. S.J. Szarek, *Nets of Grassmann manifolds and orthogonal group*, Proceedings of Research Workshop on Banach Space Theory, The University of Iowa, June 29–31 1981, Bor–Luh–Lin, ed., 1981, pp. 169-185.
7. D. Voiculescu, *Circular and semicircular systems and free product factors*, Operator Algebras, Unitary Representations, Enveloping Algebras, and Invariant Theory, Progress in Mathematics, Volume 92, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990, pp. 45-60.
8. ______, *The analogues of entropy and of Fisher's information measure in free probability theory, II*, Invent. Math. **118** (1994), 411-440.
9. D. Voiculescu, *The analogues of entropy and of Fisher's information measure in free probability theory III: the absence of Cartan subalgebras*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **6** (1996), 172-199.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Odense Universitet, Campusvej 55, DK-5230 Odense M, Denmark